ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag

& The Hon'ble Subesh Kumar Das

Case No -<u>OA 409 OF 2018</u>

SUCHITRA MITRA + 61 ORS. <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	For the Applicant : Mr. K. Basu	
	Mr. U.N. Betal Mr. S. Sarkar	
18.06.2018	Learned Advocate	
	For the State Respondent: Mr. Sankha Ghosh Learned Advocate	
	The applicants claim to have been working as	
	Medical Technologists under Department of Health and	
	Family Welfare, Government of West Bengal. These	
	applicants joined in the service during the period from	
	1995 to 2003. They were appointed on the basis of	
	educational qualifications prescribed under West Bengal	
	Subordinate Health Services (Non-Medical Technical	
	Personnel) Rules which came into force on July 22, 1960	
	(hereinafter referred to as "the Recruitment Rules of	
	1960"). The applicants have approached the Tribunal	
	with prayer for direction upon the respondents to allow	
	them to participate in the selection process for recruitment	
	to the post of Medical Technologist of different	
	categories, Grade III in response to advertisement No.	
	R/MT/Phar/Physio./48/2/2018 issued by the respondent	
	No. 4 by treating their educational qualifications as laid	
	down in the Recruitment Rules of 1960.	

Serial No. and Date of order. 1

Order of the Tribunal with signature 2

Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3

Mr. K. Basu, Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the applicants contends that the applicants have fulfilled eligibility criteria for recruitment to the post of Medical Technologist, Grade III in terms of table annexed to the Recruitment Rules of 1960. He further contends that West Bengal Subordinate Health Services Rules of 2009 came into force on August 6, 2009 in addition to and not in derogation of the provisions of Recruitment Rules of 1960. He argues that subsequent Recruitment Rules for the post of Medical Technologists of different categories came into force on December 28, 2016 in addition to and not in derogation of the provisions of Recruitment Rules of 2009. The gist of submission of Mr. Basu is that the present applicants have fulfilled eligibility criteria in terms of Recruitment Rules of 1960 and they have been working under the Department of Health & Family Welfare, Government of West Bengal and as such they may be permitted to participate in the selection process, though they have not fulfilled eligibility criteria in terms of Recruitment Rules of 2016. Mr. Basu has relied upon the case of "The Hon'ble Chief Justice Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta & Ors. V. Anil Kumar Roy and Ors." (APOT No. 94 of 2011, GA 640 of 2011 with WP 162 of 2011 disposed of on March 31, 2011) in support of his contention that the applicants are entitled to participate in the selection process for the post of Medical Technologist,

Serial No. and Date of order. Order of the Tribunal with signature 2 Office action w and dated sig of parties when n 1 Grade III in terms of the advertisement No. R/MT/Phar./Physio/48/2/2018 on the basis of educational qualifications prescribed in the Recruitment Rules of 1960. Mr. Ghosh, Learned Counsel representing the State respondents submits that the applicants have not fulfilled the eligibility criteria in terms of the advertisement and also in terms of Recruitment Rules of 2016 and as such, they cannot participate in the selection process for recruitment to the post of Medical Technologist, Grade III. He further submits that the decision of the Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court has no manner of application in the present case. The issue which calls for determination is whether the applicants can participate in the selection	
1	nature
R/MT/Phar./Physio/48/2/2018 on the basis of educational qualifications prescribed in the Recruitment Rules of 1960. Mr. Ghosh, Learned Counsel representing the State respondents submits that the applicants have not fulfilled the eligibility criteria in terms of the advertisement and also in terms of Recruitment Rules of 2016 and as such, they cannot participate in the selection process for recruitment to the post of Medical Technologist, Grade III. He further submits that the decision of the Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court has no manner of application in the present case. The issue which calls for determination is	necessary
qualifications prescribed in the Recruitment Rules of 1960. Mr. Ghosh, Learned Counsel representing the State respondents submits that the applicants have not fulfilled the eligibility criteria in terms of the advertisement and also in terms of Recruitment Rules of 2016 and as such, they cannot participate in the selection process for recruitment to the post of Medical Technologist, Grade III. He further submits that the decision of the Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court has no manner of application in the present case. The issue which calls for determination is	
1960. Mr. Ghosh, Learned Counsel representing the State respondents submits that the applicants have not fulfilled the eligibility criteria in terms of the advertisement and also in terms of Recruitment Rules of 2016 and as such, they cannot participate in the selection process for recruitment to the post of Medical Technologist, Grade III. He further submits that the decision of the Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court has no manner of application in the present case. The issue which calls for determination is	
Mr. Ghosh, Learned Counsel representing the State respondents submits that the applicants have not fulfilled the eligibility criteria in terms of the advertisement and also in terms of Recruitment Rules of 2016 and as such, they cannot participate in the selection process for recruitment to the post of Medical Technologist, Grade III. He further submits that the decision of the Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court has no manner of application in the present case. The issue which calls for determination is	
State respondents submits that the applicants have not fulfilled the eligibility criteria in terms of the advertisement and also in terms of Recruitment Rules of 2016 and as such, they cannot participate in the selection process for recruitment to the post of Medical Technologist, Grade III. He further submits that the decision of the Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court has no manner of application in the present case. The issue which calls for determination is	
State respondents submits that the applicants have not fulfilled the eligibility criteria in terms of the advertisement and also in terms of Recruitment Rules of 2016 and as such, they cannot participate in the selection process for recruitment to the post of Medical Technologist, Grade III. He further submits that the decision of the Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court has no manner of application in the present case. The issue which calls for determination is	
fulfilled the eligibility criteria in terms of the advertisement and also in terms of Recruitment Rules of 2016 and as such, they cannot participate in the selection process for recruitment to the post of Medical Technologist, Grade III. He further submits that the decision of the Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court has no manner of application in the present case. The issue which calls for determination is	
advertisement and also in terms of Recruitment Rules of 2016 and as such, they cannot participate in the selection process for recruitment to the post of Medical Technologist, Grade III. He further submits that the decision of the Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court has no manner of application in the present case. The issue which calls for determination is	
2016 and as such, they cannot participate in the selection process for recruitment to the post of Medical Technologist, Grade III. He further submits that the decision of the Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court has no manner of application in the present case. The issue which calls for determination is	
process for recruitment to the post of Medical Technologist, Grade III. He further submits that the decision of the Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court has no manner of application in the present case. The issue which calls for determination is	
Technologist, Grade III. He further submits that the decision of the Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court has no manner of application in the present case. The issue which calls for determination is	
decision of the Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court has no manner of application in the present case. The issue which calls for determination is	
has no manner of application in the present case. The issue which calls for determination is	
The issue which calls for determination is	
whether the applicants can participate in the selection	
process for recruitment to the post of Medical	
Technologist, Grade III without fulfilling eligibility	
criteria as laid down in the advertisement and in terms of	
Recruitment Rules of 2016. Admittedly, the applicants	
were appointed during the period of almost eight years	
from 1995 to 2003 as Medical Technologists, Grade III on	
contractual basis under Department of Health & Family	
Welfare, Government of West Bengal on fulfilment of	
educational qualifications laid down in the Recruitment	
Rules of 1960. The subsequent Recruitment Rules of	

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	2009 was framed under proviso to Article 309 of the	
	Constitution of India in supersession of earlier Rules,	
	though the saving clause of the said Recruitment Rules of	
	2009 indicates that the said Recruitment Rules of 2009	
	have been framed not in derogation of the provisions of	
	Recruitment Rules of 1960 in case of the employees who	
	have already been appointed under Recruitment Rules of	
	1960 for the purpose of promotion. Naturally, the	
	Recruitment Rules of 2009 has repealed earlier	
	Recruitment Rules of 1960 by necessary implication,	
	though provision is made in Recruitment Rules of 2009	
	for saving the interest of the employees who were	
	appointed under Recruitment Rules of 1960 only for the	
	purpose of promotion. Similarly, Recruitment Rules of	
	2016 framed under proviso to Article 309 of the	
	Constitution of India has specifically repealed	
	Recruitment Rules of 2009, though the provision has been	
	made to protect the interest of the employees appointed	
	under the provisions of the previous Recruitment Rules of	
	2009 for the purpose of their promotion. So, the saving	
	clause of the Recruitment Rules of 2009 and the	
	Recruitment Rules of 2016 has protected the interest of	
	the applicants with regard to their promotions. Since the	
	issue involved in the present application is not in	
	connection with promotion of the applicants, the	
	applicants are not entitled to get any protection for	
	participation in the selection process along with fresh	

		Γ
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature
1		of parties when necessary 3
	candidates due to repealing of earlier Recruitment Rules	
	of 1960 and Recruitment Rules of 2009. Since the present	
	applicants are not entitled to get any protection for	
	participating in the selection process initiated on the basis	
	of the advertisement No. R/MT/Phar./Physio/48/2/2018 by	
	fulfilling eligibility criteria in terms of Recruitment Rules	
	of 1960, we cannot persuade ourselves to accept the	
	contention made on behalf of the applicants that the	
	applicants are deemed to have fulfilled eligibility criteria	
	as per advertisement and in terms of Recruitment Rules of	
	2016.	
	In the unreported case of "The Hon'ble Chief	
	Justice Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta & Ors. V. Anil	
	Kumar Roy and Ors." (Supra) Badli workers (ad hoc	
	employees) of the Hon'ble High Court were granted	
	permission to participate in the selection process along	
	with fresh candidates for regular recruitment to fill up	
	Group 'D' posts. In the said unreported case, Badli	
	workers (ad hoc employees) fulfilled eligibility criteria for	
	participating in the selection process along with regular	
	candidates, but they could not participate due to age bar.	
	In the instant case the applicants had not fulfilled	
	educational qualifications in terms of the advertisement	
	and in terms of Recruitment Rules of 2016. Accordingly,	
	the unreported case cited on behalf of the applicants is of	
	no assistance to the applicants.	

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	In our considered view the applicants cannot be permitted to participate in the selection process for recruitment to the post of Medical Technologist, Grade III unless and until they fulfil the eligibility criteria in terms of advertisement published in terms of Recruitment Rules of 2016. The original application is, thus, dismissed.	
	The urgent xeroxed certified copy of the order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties on priority basis on fulfilment of necessary legal formalities.	
SCN.	(S.K. Das) (R.K. Bag) MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)	